



Measures

Analysis of complex interconnected data



Slides mostly based on
newman's book



Quick Notes

- Second assignment is out
 - http://www.reirab.com/Teaching/NS20/Assignment_2.pdf
 - Submit single entry as a Group in Mycourses
- Use slack for easier communications

Deadlines

- assignment 1 due on Sep. 20th
- assignment 2 due on Oct. 4th
- assignment 3 due on Oct. 18th
- project proposal slides due on Oct. 25th
- project proposal due on Nov. 1th
- Reviews (first round) due on Nov. 8th
- project progress report due on Nov. 22nd
- Reviews (second round) due on Nov. 29th
- project final report slides due on Dec. 1st
- project final report due on Dec. 6th
- Reviews (third round) due on Dec. 13th
- project revised report and rebuttal due on Dec. 20th
- note: dates are tentative, please check them for the updated deadlines

Outline

- **Centrality**


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- PageRank
- HITS
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality

- **Similarity**

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity


$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$

$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$



Centrality


Measure the importance of nodes




http://www.rpubs.com/shestakoff/sna_lab4

Centrality


Different ways to define importance \Rightarrow Different centrality measures \Rightarrow Different ranking of the nodes on the same graph




Degree centrality




Closeness centrality




Betweenness centrality




Eigenvector centrality



Bonachich power centrality



Alpha centrality



Outline

- Centrality


- **Degree Centrality**
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- PageRank
- HITS
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality

- Similarity

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity

$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$


$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$



Degree centrality


Degree is the simplest centrality measure

more connections you have (number of edges),
more people you know (number of neighbours),
more important you are



Can you think of a widely used example where people are ranked by degree centrality?

Degree centrality, example




Important papers: number of citations, number of time a paper is cited




Albert-László Barabási

Northeastern University, Harvard Medical School
Verified email at neu.edu - [Homepage](#)
network science statistical physics biological physics physics



TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
Emergence of scaling in random networks AL Barabási, R Albert Science 286 (5439), 509-512	36456	1999
Statistical mechanics of complex networks R Albert, AL Barabási Reviews of Modern Physics 74, 47-97	22221	2002
Linked: The New Science Of Networks AL Barabási Basic Books	10246 *	2002

Cited by	All	Since 2015
Citations	228071	92923
h-index	145	109
i10-index	344	279




Mark Newman

Professor of Physics, [University of Michigan](#)
Verified email at umich.edu - [Homepage](#)
Statistical Physics Networks



TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
The structure and function of complex networks MEJ Newman SIAM review 45 (2), 167-256	20389	2003
Community structure in social and biological networks M Girvan, MEJ Newman Proceedings of the national academy of sciences 99 (12), 7821-7826	14555	2002
Finding and evaluating community structure in networks MEJ Newman, M Girvan Physical review E 69 (2), 026113	13191	2004

Cited by	All	Since 2015
Citations	189890	90313
h-index	105	81
i10-index	203	174




Yoshua Bengio

Professor of computer science, [University of Montreal](#), Mila, IVADO, CIFAR
Verified email at umontreal.ca - [Homepage](#)
Machine learning deep learning artificial intelligence




TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
Deep learning Y LeCun, Y Bengio, G Hinton nature 521 (7553), 436-444	30071	2015
Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition Y LeCun, L Bottou, Y Bengio, P Haffner Proceedings of the IEEE 86 (11), 2278-2324	29859	1998
Generative adversarial nets I Goodfellow, J Pouget-Abadie, M Mirza, B Xu, D Warde-Farley, S Ozair, ... Advances in neural information processing systems 2677-2686	22593	2014

Cited by	All	Since 2015
Citations	321619	294220
h-index	169	155
i10-index	580	513




Degree centrality, example

Influencers in social media: number of followers, number of retweets



<https://www.newyorker.com/culture/annals-of-inquiry/a-history-of-the-influencer-from-shakespeare-to-instagram>



https://medium.com/@erin_gallagher/marchforourlives-neveragain-a59ee4a078cb

Eigenvector centrality

How to measure having important connections?

You might only have one connection but it can be the US president



Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

Assume x_i gives the importance of node i , and $N(i)$ gives set of neighbours of i

$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j$$

$$N(i) = \{j \mid \mathbf{A}_{ij}=1\}$$

Important if you have **many connections** (of some importance), or a few but **very important connections**



Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

Assume x_i gives the importance of node i , and $N(i)$ gives set of neighbours of i

$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j$$

$$N(i) = \{j \mid \mathbf{A}_{ij}=1\}$$

How can we write this in matrix notation?

Note that we have $\sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j = \mathbf{A}_{i:} \mathbf{x}$ where \mathbf{x} is a vector of all centrality scores

Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

Assume x_i gives the importance of node i , and $N(i)$ gives set of neighbours of i

$$x_i = K^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j$$

$$\mathbf{x} = K^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \quad \{\text{Vector notation}\}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = K \mathbf{x}$$

What is \mathbf{x} ?

Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

Assume x_i gives the importance of node i , and $N(i)$ gives set of neighbours of i

$$x_i = K^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j$$

$$\mathbf{x} = K^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = K \mathbf{x}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ is an eigenvector of the adjacency matrix

Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

Assume x_i gives the importance of node i , and $N(i)$ gives set of neighbours of i

$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

Which eigenvector should we use?

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}$$

\mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of the adjacency matrix

Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

\mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of the adjacency matrix and x_i gives the importance of node i

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

we want \mathbf{x} to be non-negative then the only choice is the **largest eigenvector**

[Perron–Frobenius theorem]

Any matrix with all non-negative values, such as A , any eigenvector but the leading eigenvector has at least one negative element.

Eigenvector centrality

Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \kappa \mathbf{x}$$

\mathbf{x} is the largest eigenvector

what is κ ?

Eigenvector centrality


Eigenvector centrality of a node is proportional to the centrality scores of its neighbors

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \kappa \mathbf{x}$$

\mathbf{x} is the largest eigenvector


what is κ ? largest eigenvector

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks



$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ji} x_j$$


Can be defined in two ways



$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

$A_{ij}=1$ if there is an edge from j to i

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks



$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ji} x_j$$


$$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}$$

[left]

Can be defined in two ways
⇒ right and left eigenvectors,
and two leading eigenvalues

Which one to use?

Consider the citation network
and the www, which one
indicates importance?




$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}$$

[right]

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks




$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ji} x_j$$

$$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}$$

[left]

Can be defined in two ways
⇒ right and left eigenvectors,
and two leading eigenvalues

Which one to use? Right



$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$


$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}$$

[right]

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks

Example:

What is the score of A?




$$x_i = K^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks

Example:

What is the score of A? a node with no incoming edge \Rightarrow zero score




$$x_i = K^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks

Example:

What is the score of A? a node with no incoming edge \Rightarrow zero score

What is the score of B?




$$x_i = K^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks

Example:

What is the score of A? a node with no incoming edge \Rightarrow zero score

What is the score of B? also zero, only ingoing edge is from A




$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

Eigenvector centrality in directed networks

Only non-zero if in a strongly connected component of two or more nodes, or the out-component of such a strongly connected component

When will this be a problem?

Can you think of an example?



$$x_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$


Eigenvector centrality in directed networks

Only non-zero if in a strongly connected component of two or more nodes, or the out-component of such a strongly connected component

When will this be a problem?

In an **acyclic networks**, such as **citation networks**, where there is no strongly connected components (of more than one node) and all nodes get zero score

How can we fix it? Katz and PageRank variants



$$x_i = K^{-1} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

Outline

- Centrality


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- **Katz Centrality**
- PageRank
- HITS
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality

- Similarity

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity

$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$

$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$



Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + \beta$$

α and β are positive constants

β : every node gets a basic importance

“everybody is somebody”

*Nodes with zero in-degree gets β and can pass it on
 \Rightarrow nodes with high in-degree get high score regardless of being
in SCC or pointed by it*



Leo Katz (1914-1976)
1953 - Katz centrality

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + \beta$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1} \quad \{\text{with } \beta = 1\}$$

$\mathbf{1}$ is the uniform vector of all ones: $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$

\mathbf{I} is the identity matrix: $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, \dots)$

absolute magnitude of centrality scores are not important, we care about the relative values

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + \beta$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1} \quad \{\text{with } \beta = 1\}$$

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + \beta$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1} \quad \{\text{with } \beta = 1\}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance as β

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + \beta$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1} \quad \{\text{with } \beta = 1\}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance as β

As we increase α , scores increase
and might start to diverge
when $(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ diverges

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance of 1

As we increase α , scores increase
and might start to diverge
when $(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ diverges happens when

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(\alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

At what α this happens?

The determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of its eigenvalues, and matrix $\mathbf{xI} - \mathbf{A}$ has eigenvalues $x - \kappa_i$ where κ_i are the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \Rightarrow \det(\mathbf{xI} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \kappa_1)(x - \kappa_2) \dots (x - \kappa_n)$, with zeros at $x = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots \Rightarrow$ the solutions of $\det(\mathbf{xI} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$ give the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance of 1

As we increase α , scores increase and might start to diverge when $(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ diverges happens when

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(\alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

At what α this happens? $\alpha^{-1} = \kappa_i \Rightarrow \alpha = 1/\kappa_i$

The determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of its eigenvalues, and matrix $x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$ has eigenvalues $x - \kappa_i$ where κ_i are the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \Rightarrow \det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \kappa_1)(x - \kappa_2) \dots (x - \kappa_n)$, with zeros at $x = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots \Rightarrow$ the solutions of $\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$ give the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance of 1

As we increase α , scores increase
and might start to diverge
when $(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ diverges happens when

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(\alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

At what α this happens? $\alpha^{-1} = \kappa_i \Rightarrow \alpha = 1/\kappa_i$

At what α this first happens?

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance of 1

As we increase α , scores increase
and might start to diverge
when $(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ diverges happens when

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(\alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

At what α this happens? $\alpha^{-1} = \kappa_i \Rightarrow \alpha = 1/\kappa_i$

At what α this first happens?

largest (most positive) eigenvalue

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

What do we get if we set $\alpha = 0$?

All nodes have the same importance of 1

As we increase α , scores increase
and might start to diverge
when $(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ diverges happens when

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(\alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

At what α this first happens?
largest (most positive) eigenvalue

$$\alpha < 1/\kappa_1$$

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$

$$\alpha < 1/\kappa_1$$


κ_1 is the largest (most positive) eigenvalue

In practice α is often set close to this limit

Could this be a good measure to rank pages in the www?

Katz centrality

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} x_j + 1$$



Could this be a good measure to rank pages in the www?

If there is an important directory page, linking to many pages, it passes its importance to all the cited web pages, one can think that the importance should be diluted if shared with many others



Mark Newman


Professor of Physics, University of Michigan
Verified email at umich.edu - Homepage
Statistical Physics Networks

FOLLOW

TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
The structure and function of complex networks MEJ Newman SIAM review 45 (2), 167-256	20389	2003
Community structure in social and biological networks M Girvan, MEJ Newman Proceedings of the national academy of sciences 99 (12), 7821-7826	14555	2002
Finding and evaluating community structure in networks MEJ Newman, M Girvan Physical review E 69 (3), 036113	13191	2004

Cited by VIEW ALL

	All	Since 2015
Citations	189890	90313
h-index	105	81
i10-index	203	174



dblp computer science bibliography

Search dblp powered by Comptechsearch, courtesy of Hannu Bass, University of Freiburg

> Home

Author search results

Likely matches

- Cellular Networks

Venue search results

Exact matches

- Networks
- also: networks: an international journal

Likely matches

- Computer Networks
- also: Computer Networks and ISDN Systems
- Neural Networks
- Ad Hoc Networks
- Networks
- also: Networks: an international journal
- Ad Hoc & Sensor Wireless Networks

show all 222 matches

Publication search results

found 272,110 matches

2020

Shao Chen, F. Dubois-Savoy, Yihui King: Detecting and testing altered brain connectivity networks with k-partite

refine by author

- Vicente C. M. Leung (533)
- Xuemin Shen (513)
- Andreas Baskerville (492)
- Zhu Han (462)
- Jindong Guo (370)
- Jin Wu (363)



Outline

- Centrality


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- **PageRank**
- HITS
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality

- Similarity

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity

$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$

$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$



PageRank

divide your centrality to your neighbours, instead of passing to all

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} x_j + \beta; \quad d_j^{\text{out}} = \sum_k A_{kj}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{1}$$

$$D_{ii} = \max(d_j^{\text{out}}, 1) \quad \{\text{to avoid } 0/0 \text{ when } d_j^{\text{out}} = 0\}$$

$A_{ij}=1$ if there is an edge from j to $i \Rightarrow d_j^{\text{out}} = 0$ $A_{ij}=0$ for all i , then $A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} = 0/0$ which we want to be 0

PageRank


divide your centrality to your neighbours, instead of passing to all

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} x_j + \beta; \quad d_j^{\text{out}} = \sum_k A_{kj}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1})^{-1} \beta \mathbf{1}$$



Brin, S. and Page, L., The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine, Comput. Netw. 30, 107-117 (1998).

PageRank

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} x_j + \beta; \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$


What should α be?

PageRank

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} x_j + \beta; \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{AD}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

α < the leading eigenvector of \mathbf{AD}^{-1}

The Google search engine uses a value of $\alpha=0.85$




PageRank

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} x_j + \beta; \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{AD}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

α < the leading eigenvector of \mathbf{AD}^{-1}

The Google search engine uses a value of $\alpha=0.85$



PageRank

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} / d_j^{\text{out}} x_j + \beta; \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{AD}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

α < the leading eigenvector of \mathbf{AD}^{-1}

What if undirected and we set $\beta = 0$?

reduces to degree centrality with $\alpha = 1$

Outline

- Centrality


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- PageRank
- **HITS**
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality

- Similarity

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity



$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$

$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$




HITS: hyperlink-induced topic search

- Highly cited paper
- Survey paper linking to main references




HITS

- Highly cited paper [[authorities](#)]



- Survey paper linking to main references [[hubs](#)]




Kleinberg, J. M., Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment, *J. ACM* **46**, 604–632 (1999)

HITS


- Highly cited paper [[authorities](#)]

authority centrality x_i



- Survey paper linking to main references [[hubs](#)]

hub centrality y_i



Kleinberg, J. M., Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment, *J. ACM* **46**, 604–632 (1999)

important scientific paper (in the authority sense) would be one cited in many important reviews (in the hub sense)



HITS

- authority centrality x_i

$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} y_j$$

- hub centrality y_i

$$y_i = \beta \sum_j A_{ji} x_j$$



HITS

- authority centrality x_i



$$x_i = \alpha \sum_j A_{ij} y_j$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$

- hub centrality y_i

$$y_i = \beta \sum_j A_{ji} x_j$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$



HITS

- authority centrality x_i



$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$

- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



What is λ ?

HITS

- authority centrality x_i



$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$

- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



$$\lambda = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$$


What does it imply?

HITS

- authority centrality x_i

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$


$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$



- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



$$\lambda = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$$

What does it imply?


eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ and $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ with the same eigenvalue

HITS

- authority centrality x_i

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$


$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$



- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



$$\lambda = (\alpha\beta)^{-1} \quad \text{What does it imply?}$$


eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ and $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ with the same eigenvalue
largest eigenvalue to get non-zero scores

HITS

- authority centrality x_i

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$


$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$



- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



$$\lambda = (\alpha\beta)^{-1} \quad \text{What does it imply?}$$

eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ and $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ with the same eigenvalue
largest eigenvalue to get non-zero scores


$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ & $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ always have
the same eigenvalues

HITS

- authority centrality x_i

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$


$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$



- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



$\lambda = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$ **largest eigenvalue** to get non-zero scores


Could we have zero scores?

HITS

- authority centrality x_i

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$$


$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$



- hub centrality y_i

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}$$



$\lambda = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$ **largest eigenvalue** to get non-zero scores

Could we have zero scores? Yes, but no issue since hub could be zero but authority not

Outline

- Centrality


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- PageRank
- HITS
- **Closeness centrality**
- Betweenness centrality

- Similarity

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity

$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$


$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$



Closeness centrality

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$



Breadth-first search

Closeness centrality

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij}) \quad \{\text{distance}\}$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij} \quad \{\text{centrality}\}$$

Could you guess who has the highest centrality in IMDB?

Closeness centrality

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij}$$



Could you guess who has the highest centrality in IMDB?

Christopher Lee

Closeness centrality

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij}$$

What happens if we have many connected components? i.e. disconnected graph?

Closeness centrality

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij}$$

What happens if we have many connected components? i.e. disconnected graph?

Infinite

Should we average over components?

Closeness centrality

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij}$$

What happens if we have many connected components? i.e. disconnected graph?

Infinite

Should we average over components?

Nodes in smaller components get higher centrality

Closeness centrality, reformulation

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_i = 1/(n-1) \sum_j 1/s_{ij}$$

Use the harmonic
mean distance
between nodes instead

Naturally deals with $s_{ij} = \infty$

Other property?

Closeness centrality, reformulation

the mean distance from a node to other nodes, based on shortest paths

$$s_i = 1/n (\sum_j s_{ij})$$

$$x_i = n / \sum_j s_{ij} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_i = 1/(n-1) \sum_j 1/s_{ij}$$

Use the harmonic
mean distance
between nodes instead

Naturally deals with $s_{ij}=\infty$

Other property? gives more weight to nodes that are close

Outline

- Centrality


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- PageRank
- HITS
- Closeness centrality
- **Betweenness centrality**

- Similarity

- Common neighbour
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity

$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$

$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$



Betweenness centrality

the extent to which a node lies on paths between other nodes, based on shortest paths

Flow bottlenecks

- control over information passing
- removal from the network will most disrupt communications

Betweenness centrality

$$x_i = 1/n^2 \sum_{st} n_{st}^i / t_{st}$$


n_{st}^i = the number of shortest paths from s to t that pass through i

t_{st} = total number of shortest paths from s to t

average rate at which traffic passes through node i

Betweenness centrality


Brokers: low-degree node with high betweenness, lies on a bridge



Could you guess who has the highest centrality in IMDB?

Betweenness centrality

Brokers: low-degree node with high betweenness, lies on a bridge



Could you guess who has the highest centrality in IMDB?

Betweenness centrality has many variants and approximations given its computational complexity and usefulness

Al Pacino

worked extensively in both film and television, in both European and American films, several different languages [in between groups]

Outline

- Centrality


- Degree Centrality
- Eigenvalue Centrality
- Katz Centrality
- PageRank
- HITS
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality

- Similarity

- **Common neighbour**
- Cosine similarity
- Jaccard similarity

$R(i)$ for i in $[1..n]$


$S(i,j)$ for i,j in $[1..n]$




Number of Common Neighbors

$$n_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj}$$

Is 3 a lot or too little?
We need to normalize it



(a) Structural equivalence



(b) Regular equivalence

Cosine similarity


$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj} / (\sqrt{d_i} \sqrt{d_j})$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{y}|}$$


$$\sigma_{ij} = \cos \theta = \frac{\sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj}}{\sqrt{\sum_k A_{ik}^2} \sqrt{\sum_k A_{kj}^2}}$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj}}{\sqrt{k_i} \sqrt{k_j}} = \frac{n_{ij}}{\sqrt{k_i k_j}}$$

what is σ_{ij} in example (a)?



(a) Structural equivalence



(b) Regular equivalence

Cosine similarity

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj} / (\sqrt{d_i} \sqrt{d_j})$$


$$\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{y}|}$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \cos \theta = \frac{\sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj}}{\sqrt{\sum_k A_{ik}^2} \sqrt{\sum_k A_{kj}^2}}$$


$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj}}{\sqrt{k_i} \sqrt{k_j}} = \frac{n_{ij}}{\sqrt{k_i k_j}}$$

what is σ_{ij} in example (a)?

$$3/(\sqrt{4} \times \sqrt{5})$$



(a) Structural equivalence



(b) Regular equivalence

Other

- Jaccard coefficient

$$J_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj} / (d_i + d_j - \sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj})$$

- Pearson correlation coefficient
- Hamming distance
-